

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/630,625	08/01/00	HELFENSTEIN		Α	67736
_			$\neg$	EXAMINER	
023872 MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC		MM91/0815		NGUYEI	vi. A
SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH NY 10510				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tour Tour 1 3 3 5 days Tour 2 de Tour Tour Tour 1999 E. I	The transfer of the same of the same			2854	
				DATE MAILED	;
					08/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

- A

Application No. 09/630,625

Applicant(s)

Andreas Helfenstein et al.

Examiner

**Anthony Nguyen** 

Art Unit 2854



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication</li> </ul>	.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed n.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a	eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
• • • • •	od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for reply will, by states and the set of extended period for extende	tute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). illing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 1, 2000</u>	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action	is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exc closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1-13	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	
1. 🔀 Certified copies of the priority documents have t	peen received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	peen received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doct application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the off	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	only and 00 0.0.0. 5 110(6).
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20	Cther:

Application/Control Number: 09/630625

Art Unit: 2854

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6,7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koch et al. (US 6,092,466).

Koch et al. teaches a process and a device for determining cutting positions of webs that meet the process and structure as broadly recited. Koch et al. teaches the process for determining cutting positions of a plurality of webs 9-11 including the steps of recording a measured value for a cutting position (Koch et al., col.3 lines 59-61) by measuring sensors 13 and using the recorded measured value to determine the cutting position of the webs (Koch et al., col.3 lines 62-66).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/630625 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Koch et al. (US 6,092,466).

Koch et al. teaches a process and a device for determining cutting positions of webs having substantially the process and the structure as broadly claimed. Koch et al. fails to teach clearly that the value of the cutting positions of the webs can be set by hand, and the sensors used are an optical scanner. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to entering a desired value by hand for the desired cutting position, and use a measured value as a reference value in the step of determining the cutting position of the webs since this step that performs at the time of the start-up is obvious and necessary to optimum operating of the device. Additionally, the use of an optical scanner for detecting a printed pattern is well known in the art.

The patents to Matsumoto et al., Goldberg et al., and Okamura are cited to show other structures and methods having obvious similarities to the claimed structure and method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2869. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM.

Art Unit: 2854

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hilten, can be reached on (703) 308-0719. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Nguyen August 13, 2001

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Eufborn Mayer